



Looking for needles in the Plasmodial haystack

Hviid, Lars

Published in:
mSphere

DOI:
[10.1128/mSphere.00146-19](https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00146-19)

Publication date:
2019

Document version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license:
[CC BY](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Hviid, L. (2019). Looking for needles in the Plasmodial haystack. *mSphere*, 4(2), [e00146-19].
<https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00146-19>



Looking for Needles in the Plasmodial Haystack

 Lars Hviid^{a,b}

^aCentre for Medical Parasitology, Department of Immunology and Microbiology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

^bDepartment of Infectious Diseases, Copenhagen University Hospital (Rigshospitalet), Copenhagen, Denmark

ABSTRACT *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria remains a globally leading infectious disease problem. Despite decades of intense investigation, an efficacious and practical vaccine offering durable protection to people living in areas with transmission of malaria parasites remains an elusive goal. Our fragmentary understanding of the mechanisms of protective immunity to the disease is a major obstacle, and the almost complete focus on a very small subset of *P. falciparum* proteins as vaccine candidates has left most parasite antigens essentially unexplored as targets of acquired immunity. However, with the protein microarray technology, it is now possible to interrogate the entire parasite proteome for new vaccine candidates and for markers of parasite exposure. Recent *mSphere* papers describe the results of such research.

KEYWORDS *Plasmodium falciparum*, antibodies, antigens, malaria

THE MALARIA PROBLEM

The most serious form of malaria, caused by the hemoprotozoan parasite *Plasmodium falciparum*, is a major cause of human suffering and death, not least in equatorial Africa. Although the number of cases has decreased steadily over the last decade, the pace is flagging, and the disease burden remains completely unacceptable (1). Continued progress toward eliminating and ultimately eradicating malaria is threatened by drug resistance, insecticide resistance, and other problems. An efficacious vaccine that is suitable for mass administration and that ideally induces durable protection after a few immunizations would be a major game changer. Indeed, such a vaccine has been the holy grail of malaria immunology research for decades. Regrettably, the reward of this eager pursuit has been meager, and the only malaria vaccine licensed so far affords only partial and short-term protection. One limitation of the vaccine development efforts has been the dogged focus on a few antigens (WHO, Malaria Vaccine Rainbow Tables [http://www.who.int/vaccine_research/links/Rainbow/en/index.html; accessed 26 February 2019]).

THE PLASMODIAL HAYSTACK

Most of the current vaccine candidates were discovered many years ago and showed promise in initial work, but many are still backed by less than rock solid evidence that they are in fact key targets of protective immunity against *P. falciparum* malaria in humans.

In areas with stable parasite transmission, clinical immunity to *P. falciparum* malaria is acquired in a piecemeal manner over several years and after many infections and disease episodes. It is paralleled by acquisition of antibodies to many of the approximately 5,300 proteins encoded by the parasite (2). Suspected protective antigens may thus, in reality, be covariates of genuinely protective antigens, and separating the wheat from the chaff in this enormous plasmodial haystack has proved very difficult. The task is further complicated by the fact that many *P. falciparum* antigens are highly polymorphic and/or clonally variant. Finally, we cannot even be certain that there is indeed a particular immune response targeting a particular epitope in a particular antigen that mediates protection across the board.

Citation Hviid L. 2019. Looking for needles in the plasmodial haystack. *mSphere* 4:e00146-19. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00146-19>.

Copyright © 2019 Hviid. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Address correspondence to lhviid@sund.ku.dk.

For the articles discussed, see <https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphereDirect.00027-19> and <https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphereDirect.00061-19>.

The views expressed in this article do not necessarily reflect the views of the journal or of ASM.

Published 27 March 2019

FINDING THE NEEDLE(S) IN THE HAYSTACK

Given the uncertainty that any of the relatively few antigens investigated thoroughly so far really holds the solution to the vaccine challenge—the needle in the above-mentioned haystack—it seems obvious to widen the portfolio of candidates. Until fairly recently, technology has seriously limited the practicality of comprehensive screening for novel clinically important candidates, but that may be about to change. The authors of a recent report in *mSphere* (3) thus combined the remarkable ability of controlled human malaria infection (CHMI) to induce protective immunity (4) with the possibility of identifying important antibody reactivities mediating it, by employing large protein arrays including thousands of antigens (5). The analysis by Obiero et al. (3) of an array covering more than 90% of the *P. falciparum* proteome revealed that in some individuals CHMI induced antibody responses to only a few antigens (low responders), broader and higher responses in others (medium responders), and high responses to many antigens in yet other CHMI recipients (high responders). Remarkably, essentially all low and high responders were clinically protected from challenge infection, suggesting that clinical protection can be achieved in different ways in different individuals, even when exposed to infection in exactly the same way. However, the authors focused on the moderate responder group, which contained most of the nonprotected individuals but also several who were protected. Analysis of the antibody responses among susceptible versus protected moderate responders allowed the authors to identify small subsets of antibody specificities associated with either status. Perhaps even more remarkable was the finding that the six protective antigens did not include any current vaccine candidate, whereas four of the six susceptibility markers belonged to members of the *P. falciparum* EMP1 (PfEMP1) family that have otherwise been implicated as important mediators of clinical protection after natural exposure (6). The study by Obiero et al. (3) thus illustrates the great potential of brute-force studies not only for identifying new vaccine candidates (“needles”) but also for inadvertently increasing the size of the plasmodial haystack to be sifted. More focused traditional follow-up studies are undoubtedly needed to confirm or refute the validity of the candidates identified by hypothesis-free approaches, such as the one used by Obiero et al. (3), and to delineate plausible protective mechanisms of promising antibody specificities. Hybrids between the new hypothesis-free all-encompassing approach and the traditional, hypothesis-driven single/few-antigen approaches might offer an interesting compromise. The KILchip merozoite antigen array (7) and the PfEMP1/RIFIN/STEVOR array (8) are examples of this.

SEVERAL TYPES OF NEEDLES

The study of Obiero et al. (3) also illustrates another interesting point. Although particular antibody responses are not directly related to clinical protection, they can nevertheless sometimes be used as markers of short-term or long-term changes in exposure. Such responses can therefore be convenient tools to map malaria endemicity and to monitor the efficacy of interventions. Relevant antibody specificities for this task constitute a class of antigenic “needles” different from those discussed above and, arguably, one easier to find, not least by big antigen arrays, obviously so not only because of their comprehensiveness but also because fidelity in the reproduction of particular native epitopes is likely to be less of a concern than when looking for the targets of protective antibodies. In another recent *mSphere* report (9), Kobayashi et al. used a 500-exon array to analyze serum samples collected in various surveys at sites with different transmission intensities and patterns in southern Africa. While falling short of identifying a one-size-fits-all set of exposure markers, the study demonstrated important age- and transmission intensity-dependent qualitative and quantitative differences in antibody responses, similar to findings from previous studies (10–12). Furthermore, the study supports the idea that acquisition of protective immunity to malaria involves not only increases in antibody quantity and quality but also a gradual shift from predominantly transient responses in childhood to more sustained responses

in adults, as proposed previously (13). Such a transition may be an important reflection of how immunological memory to malaria is induced and maintained.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Antigen arrays of steadily increasing comprehensiveness and quality are becoming powerful tools in the analysis of acquired immunity to *P. falciparum* malaria. The recent *mSphere* reports (3, 9) are good examples of this. Big antigen arrays clearly have the potential to help us identify sorely needed new and clinically decisive antigenic targets. However, if not used carefully—and probably best in conjunction with other, lower-throughput but more in-depth approaches—they may also generate a considerable number of false leads. We should certainly use these tools (we need all the help we can get) but must take care to use them wisely.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author acknowledges financial research support directly relevant to this article from Det Frie Forskningsråd (DFF-4183-00539) and Danida Fellowship Centre (17-02-KU).

REFERENCES

- World Health Organization. 2018. World malaria report 2018. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Gardner MJ, Hall N, Fung E, White O, Berriman M, Hyman RW, Carlton JM, Pain A, Nelson KE, Bowman S, Paulsen IT, James K, Eisen JA, Rutherford K, Salzberg SL, Craig A, Kyes S, Chan MS, Nene V, Shallom SJ, Suh B, Peterson J, Angiuoli S, Perteau M, Allen J, Selengut J, Haft D, Mather MW, Vaidya AB, Martin DM, Fairlamb AH, Fraunholz MJ, Roos DS, Ralph SA, McFadden GI, Cummings LM, Subramanian GM, Mungall C, Venter JC, Carucci DJ, Hoffman SL, Newbold C, Davis RW, Fraser CM, Barrell B. 2002. Genome sequence of the human malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Nature* 419:498–511. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01097>.
- Obiero JM, Campo JJ, Scholzen A, Randall A, Bijker EM, Roestenberg M, Hermesen CC, Teng A, Jain A, Davies DH, Sauerwein RW, Felgner PL. 2019. Antibody biomarkers associated with sterile protection induced by controlled human malaria infection under chloroquine prophylaxis. *mSphere* 4:e00027-19. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphereDirect.00027-19>.
- Roestenberg M, McCall M, Hopman J, Wiersma J, Luty AJF, van Gemert GJ, van de Vegte-Bolmer M, van Schaijk B, Teelen K, Arens T, Spaarman L, de Mast Q, Roeffen W, Snounou G, Rénia L, van der Ven A, Hermesen CC, Sauerwein R. 2009. Protection against a malaria challenge by sporozoite inoculation. *N Engl J Med* 361:468–477. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0805832>.
- Davies DH, Liang X, Hernandez JE, Randall A, Hirst S, Mu Y, Romero KM, Nguyen TT, Kalantari-Dehaghi M, Crotty S, Baldi P, Villarreal LP, Felgner PL. 2005. Profiling the humoral immune response to infection by using proteome microarrays: high-throughput vaccine and diagnostic antigen discovery. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 102:547–552. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0408782102>.
- Hviid L, Jensen AT. 2015. PfEMP1—a parasite protein family of key importance in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria immunity and pathogenesis. *Adv Parasitol* 88:51–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apar.2015.02.004>.
- Kamuyu G, Tuju J, Kimathi R, Mwai K, Mburu J, Kibinge N, Chong Kwan M, Hawkings S, Yaa R, Chepsat E, Njunge JM, Chege T, Guleid F, Rosenkranz M, Kariuki CK, Frank R, Kinyanjui SM, Murungi LM, Bejon P, Farnert A, Tetteh KKA, Beeson JG, Conway DJ, Marsh K, Rayner JC, Osier F. 2018. KILchip v1.0: a novel *Plasmodium falciparum* merozoite protein microarray to facilitate malaria vaccine candidate prioritization. *Front Immunol* 9:2866. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.02866>.
- Travassos MA, Niangaly A, Bailey JA, Ouattara A, Coulibaly D, Lyke KE, Laurens MB, Pablo J, Jasinskas A, Nakajima R, Berry AA, Adams M, Jacob CG, Pike A, Takala-Harrison S, Liang L, Kouriba B, Kone AK, Rowe JA, Moulds J, Diallo DA, Doumbo OK, Thera MA, Felgner PL, Plowe CV. 2018. Children with cerebral malaria or severe malarial anaemia lack immunity to distinct variant surface antigen subsets. *Sci Rep* 8:6281. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-24462-4>.
- Kobayashi T, Jain A, Liang L, Obiero J, Hamapumbu H, Stevenson JC, Thuma PE, Lupiya J, Chaponda M, Mulenga M, Mamini E, Mharakurwa S, Gwanzura L, Munyati S, Mutabmu S, Felgner P, Davies DH, Moss WJ, for the Southern Africa International Centers of Excellence for Malaria Research. 2019. Distinct antibody signatures associated with different malaria transmission intensities in Zambia and Zimbabwe. *mSphere* 4:e00061-19. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphereDirect.00061-19>.
- Webster HK, Gingrich JB, Wongsrichanalai C, Tulyayon S, Suvarnamani A, Sookto P, Permpaich B. 1992. Circumsporozoite antibody as a serologic marker of *Plasmodium falciparum* transmission. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 47:489–497. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.1992.47.489>.
- Vestergaard LS, Lusingu JP, Nielsen MA, Mmbando BP, Dodoo D, Akanmori BD, Alifrangis M, Bygbjerg IC, Lemnge MM, Staalsoe T, Hviid L, Theander TG. 2008. Differences in human antibody reactivity to *Plasmodium falciparum* variant surface antigens are dependent on age and malaria transmission intensity in northeastern Tanzania. *Infect Immun* 76:2706–2714. <https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01401-06>.
- Crompton PD, Kayala MA, Traore B, Kayentao K, Ongoiba A, Weiss GE, Molina DM, Burk CR, Waisberg M, Jasinskas A, Tan X, Doumbo S, Doumbo D, Kone Y, Narum DL, Liang X, Doumbo OK, Miller LH, Doolan DL, Baldi P, Felgner PL, Pierce SK. 2010. A prospective analysis of the Ab response to *Plasmodium falciparum* before and after a malaria season by protein microarray. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107:6958–6963. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1001323107>.
- Hviid L, Barfod L, Fowkes FJ. 2015. Trying to remember: immunological B cell memory to malaria. *Trends Parasitol* 31:89–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2014.12.009>.